

# Queueing Network Simulation Based on Quasi-weak Regeneration<sup>1</sup>

A.V.Belyy and I.V.Aminova

Department of Mathematics, Petrozavodsk State University  
 Lenin St., 33, Petrozavodsk, 185640, Russia  
 email: bely@karelia.ru, iaminova@karelia.ru

Received October 14, 2002

We discuss the possibilities of the weak regeneration in the steady-state analysis of networks and offer a new method for regeneration point detection – quasi-weak regeneration.

First, we recall some main notions related to regenerative approach, [1]. We consider a process  $\{Z(t), t \geq 0\}$  with the sequence of its *regeneration points* (r.p.'s)  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ . Under strong regeneration, the trajectory parts between r.p.'s – *regeneration cycles* – are i.i.d. with the (i.i.d.) cycle lengths  $(\alpha_k = \beta_{k+1} - \beta_k)$ . Weak regeneration allows dependence between cycles while cycle lengths stay i.i.d. (In fact, in the network context we can restrict ourselves to the  $m$ -dependent case, [3]). Under known stability assumptions, the limit distribution of regenerative process exists,  $Z(t) \Rightarrow Z$ , [1]. Assuming the time is discrete, we denote

$$Y_i = \sum_{k=\beta_i}^{\beta_{i+1}-1} f(Z_k), \quad V_i = Y_i - \mathbb{E}f(Z)\alpha_i, \quad i \geq 1, \quad (1)$$

(so  $\{V_i\}$  are i.i.d with  $\mathbb{E}V_1 = 0$ ), and let  $\mathbb{E}f(Z)$  be a steady-state characteristic of the process.

The approach based on strong regeneration (and classic CLT) results in the following asymptotic  $100(1 - 2\gamma)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mathbb{E}f(Z)$ :

$$I = \left[ \hat{r}_n - \frac{z_\gamma S_n}{\sqrt{n\bar{\alpha}_n}}; \hat{r}_n + \frac{z_\gamma S_n}{\sqrt{n\bar{\alpha}_n}} \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{\alpha}_n$  and  $\bar{Y}_n$  are the sample means of  $\{\alpha_j\}$  and  $\{Y_i\}$  respectively,  $\hat{r}_n = \bar{Y}_n/\bar{\alpha}_n$ ,  $z_\gamma$  is the correspondent quantile of the (standard) normal distribution and  $S_n^2$  is the standard (strongly consistent and unbiased) estimate of

$$\text{Var}(V_1) = \text{Var}(Y_1) + \text{Var}(\alpha_1) - 2\mathbb{E}f(Z)\text{cov}(Y_1, \alpha_1).$$

We note that different sequences of strong r.p.'s lead to the same asymptotic confidence intervals [3], but they can result in different simulation time required to construct the confidence interval.

Unlike in the classic case, weak regeneration leads to the appearance of  $\text{cov}(V_i, V_j)$  in the expression  $\text{Var}(\sum V_i)$ , where (due to independence of  $\{\alpha_1\}$  and  $\{\alpha_2\}$  and also of  $\{\alpha_1\}$  and  $Y_2$ )

$$\text{cov}(V_1, V_2) = \text{cov}(Y_1, Y_2) - \mathbb{E}f(Z)\text{cov}(Y_1, \alpha_2). \quad (3)$$

Then the CLT (for  $m$ -dependent variables, [3]) gives the following confidence interval for  $\mathbb{E}f(Z)$ :

$$I = \left[ \hat{r}_n - \frac{z_\gamma \sqrt{S_n^2 + 2(t_1(n) - \hat{r}_n t_2(n))}}{\sqrt{n\bar{\alpha}_n}}; \hat{r}_n + \frac{z_\gamma \sqrt{S_n^2 + 2(t_1(n) - \hat{r}_n t_2(n))}}{\sqrt{n\bar{\alpha}_n}} \right], \quad (4)$$

<sup>1</sup> This work is partly supported by the RFBR grant 01-07-90259

where  $t_1(n), t_2(n)$  are standard estimates of  $cov(Y_1, Y_2)$ , and  $cov(\alpha_2, Y_1)$ , respectively, [3].

Let us consider the Jackson-type network with  $N < \infty$  nodes (stations) numbered  $1, \dots, N$ , where node  $i$  has  $m_i \geq 1$  parallel channels of service (servers). We suppose FIFS as the service discipline, which is called FIFO in the single-server case. Input for each node consists of internal and external inputs.

Let  $\tau^i = \{\tau_n^i = t_{n+1} - t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be the external input to the node with the i.i.d interarrival times  $\{\tau_n^i\}_{n \geq 1}$ ;  $\{s_n^i\}_{n \geq 1}$  – i.i.d service times in node  $i, i = 1, \dots, N$ ; and  $P = \|P_{ij}\|_{i \geq 0, j \geq 0}$  be transient matrix (i.e., after node  $i$  the customer goes to node  $j$  with the probability  $P_{ij}$ ). We consider node 0, such that the arrival of a customer to this node means the departure from the network (with the probability  $P_{i0} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^N P_{ik}$ ). It is known ([2]) that the condition

$$\rho_i = \frac{E s_1^{(i)}}{E \tau_1^{(i)}} < m_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{5}$$

implies stability of the network.

Below we describe detection of regeneration points, the so-called quasi-weak (q-weak) regeneration points.

By the *dependent* customers we mean collided (clashed) ones, those standing in one queue on some channel of any node simultaneously. Furthermore, if  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  ( $n_1 < n_2$ ) are numbers of collided customers, then we call all the customers with numbers  $k : n_1 \leq k \leq n_2$  dependent. The  $i$ -th set of dependent customers is called **dependence group** and denoted by  $B_i$ . Thus, if customers  $n_1$ -th,  $n_2$ -th  $\in B_i$ , they are dependent. Let  $[a_i, b_i]$  be the bounds of the dependence group  $B_i$ , where  $a_i, b_i$  – positive integers (as customers' numbers), and  $B = \{B_i\}$  – the set of dependence groups.

The set of dependence groups changes if a customer clash occurs (some customer arrives to a nonempty channel and collides with the customer ahead). By definition, we can represent the set of dependence groups as intervals  $[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \dots$ . Hence, if customers  $n_1$ -th and  $n_2$ -th collide at some moment, we intersect the intervals  $[n_1, n_2]$  and  $\{[a_i, b_i]\}_{i \geq 1}$  to obtain a new set of dependence groups.

Now we introduce the definition of the **release group**. The release group consists of consequent numbers of departed (released) customers. Let us denote the  $i$ -th release group by  $R_i$ . Hence, if  $n_1, n_2 \in R_i$  ( $n_1 < n_2$ ), then all the customers with numbers  $k : n_1 \leq k \leq n_2$ , belong to the group  $R_i$  and it means they have left the network. Let  $[c_i, d_i]$  be the bounds of release group  $R_i$ , where  $c_i, d_i$  are positive integers (as customers' numbers), and  $R = \{R_i\}$  is the set of release groups.

There are four variants of changing the set of release group at the departure moment of the  $n$ -th customer:

1. Suppose that for the group  $R_i$  the bound  $d_i = n - 1$ , then the customer joins the group  $R_i$  and we obtain a new bound  $d_i = n$ ;
2. Assume for the group  $R_i$  the bound  $c_i = n + 1$ , then the customer joins the group  $R_i$  and we obtain a new bound  $c_i = n$ ;
3. Suppose that for groups  $R_i$  and  $R_{i+1}$  the bounds  $d_i = n - 1, c_{i+1} = n + 1$ , then  $R_i$  is replaced by  $R_i \cup R_{i+1}$ ;
4. Otherwise, we create a new release group with the bounds  $[n, n]$ .

So we say that the released customer *forms* a release group.

We remark that in fact sets  $B$  and  $R$  change at discrete time moments on the scale counting all transitions of customers in the network including arrivals, departures, transitions between nodes. More precisely, the set  $B$  may change at moments of arrivals or transitions of customers between nodes (as one customer can collide with another at these moments). But the set  $R$  may change only at departure moments.

**Definition.** Let  $\{\tilde{t}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be departure times. If the departed customer forms the release group  $R_1$  at a time  $\tilde{t}_n$  and

$$R_1 \cap B_1 = B_1, \quad (6)$$

then the moment of the next customer arrival to the network after  $\tilde{t}_n$  is called the **quasi-weak regeneration point**.

That is, at the departure moment group  $R_1$  includes group  $B_1$ . Group  $R_1$  contains released customers beginning with number 1. This fact guarantees that after  $\tilde{t}_n$  the state of the network process does not depend on customers with numbers  $1, 2, \dots, b_1$ .

If there exist such groups  $B_k (k > 1)$  for which  $R_1 \cap B_k = B_k$  then they should be deleted (along with  $B_1$ ) from the set  $B$  after the q-weak regeneration point is registered. Namely, such dependence groups that consist of numbers of the already released customers are retired. This situation arises when one or a few customers stay in the network for a long time and customers with higher numbers have already been serviced and have left the network.

We remark that for exact construction of weak regeneration points it is necessary to use the so-called barriers [4], which provide one-dependent regeneration cycle. Constructed q-weak regeneration points do not require this restriction. In this connection, q-weak regeneration generally leads to nonidentical distribution of the state of the network process at such q-weak regeneration points. At the same time barriers significantly reduce the number of regeneration points and impose restriction on a network configuration.

Our preliminary numerical results show that quasi-weak regeneration may be effective in simulation of large networks and has considerable advantage in the rate of confidence estimation in comparison with classic regeneration.

This is a joint work with Professor Evsey Morozov.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Asmussen. *Applied Probability and Queues*, New York: Wiley, 1987.
2. F. Baccelli and S. Foss (1994) Stability of Jackson-type queueing networks, *Queueing Systems* **17**, 5-72.
3. E. Morozov and S. Sigovtsev. Simulation of queueing processes based on weak regeneration, *Journal of Math. Sciences*, 1998, vol. 89, pp. 1517–1523.
4. E. Morozov. Weak regenerative structure of open Jackson queueing networks, *Journal of Math. Sciences*, 1998, vol. 91, pp. 2956–2961.