

Queuing System with “Generalized Processor-sharing” and Dependence of Service Rate on Residual Work

V.A.Ivnitski and O.V.Ivnitski

Institute for Information Transmission Problems RAS

email: ivnit@iitp.ru

Received October 14, 2002

1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Queuing system (QS) serves customers of a single class. If at a time t QS contains k customers, then in the interval $(t, t + dt)$, a customer will arrive at the system with probability $\lambda_k dt + o(dt)$, $dt \rightarrow 0$.

Customer arriving in QS begins to be served at once. The order of server occupation is the following. Customer arriving in a vacant QS occupies server number 1. When a new customer arrives during the service of the customer, it occupies server number 2 with the probability $\delta_2^{(2)}$ and server number 1 with the probability $\delta_2^{(1)}$. Then the customer served in server number 1 moves into server number 2. If there are k customers in the i th node, then they occupy the first k servers and the arriving customer with the probability $\delta_{k+1}^{(l)}$ occupies server number l , $l = 1, \dots, k + 1$. While the customers served in servers number 1, $\dots, l - 1$ get their services, the customers served in servers number $l, l + 1, \dots, k$ begin to be served in servers number $l + 1, \dots, k + 1$. If the service of the customer in server number l is completed, then the customers in servers number 1, $\dots, l - 1$ remain there and the customers served in servers number $l + 1, \dots, k$ will be served in servers number $l, \dots, k - 1$.

The customer arriving in the QS in server j requires some work for this service. This work is a random value ξ with the distribution function $H(x)$, $H(0) = 0$, and the distribution density $h(x)$. If at time t there are k customers in the QS and $\xi_l(t)$ is the residual work from the moment t for completion of the customer service in the l th server, then the service rate in this server is equal to $\alpha_k \gamma_k^{(l)} \beta(\xi_l(t))$, $\alpha_k > 0$, $\gamma_k^{(l)} > 0$, $\beta(x)$, $\sum_{l=1}^k \gamma_k^{(l)} = 1$, that is $\frac{d\xi_l(t)}{dt} = -\alpha_k \gamma_k^{(l)} \beta(\xi_l(t))$.

Let us introduce the random process $\zeta(t) = \{\nu(t), \xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_{\nu(t)}(t)\}$, where $\nu(t)$ is the number of customers in the QS at a time t .

In the framework of the problem statement $\zeta(t)$ is a piece-continuous Markov process [1]. Let us denote $F(k, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\nu(t) = k, \xi_1(t) < x_1, \dots, \xi_k(t) < x_k\}$, $P(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\nu(t) = 0\}$, $\bar{F}(k, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{\partial^k F(k, x_1, \dots, x_k)}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_k}$.

It is necessary to obtain the stationary state probabilities of this QS $P(k) = F(k, \infty, \dots, \infty)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the probability distribution density $\bar{F}(k, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and the distribution function $F(k, x_1, \dots, x_k)$.

Remark. Generally speaking, the state of the system considered is the vector $\{\nu(t), \xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_{\nu(t)}(t)\}$. But in the queuing theory the state of discrete components is understood as the system state, then $\{\nu(t), \xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_{\nu(t)}(t)\}$ can be named the system microstate, taking into account that the state of the discrete components $\nu(t)$ is the system macrostate.

Later on we understand $\bar{F}(k, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $F(k, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ are non-normalizing probability distribution densities and probability distribution functions microstates. For their normalization it is necessary to divide them by $P(k)$. We understand $P(k)$ as the stationary distribution of macrostate probabilities. For simplification we will name them "states".

2. STATIONARY DISTRIBUTION OF MACROSTATE PROBABILITIES

Denote

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \vec{x}(k), (x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x, x_l, \dots, x_k) = \\ \mathcal{T}_{l,x}^+ \vec{x}(k), (x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k) = \mathcal{T}_l^- \vec{x}(k).$$

The following theorems take place.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\int_0^\infty \frac{uh(u)}{\beta(u)} du < \infty$, $\beta(x) \equiv c$, $h(x) \neq \mu \exp^{-\mu x}$ or $\beta(x) \neq c$, and a stationary ergodic distribution of the process $\zeta(t)$ exists, where c is a constant. In order to determine stationary distribution densities $\bar{F}(k, \vec{x}(k))$ and distribution functions $F(k, \vec{x}(k))$ of the QS in an evident analytical form in formulas

$$\bar{F}(k, \vec{x}(k)) = P(0) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{\alpha_i} \int_{x_i}^\infty \frac{h(u)}{\beta(u)} du, \quad (1)$$

$$F(k, \vec{x}(k)) = P(0) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{\alpha_i} \left(x_i \int_{x_i}^\infty \frac{h(u)}{\beta(u)} du + \int_0^{x_i} \frac{uh(u)}{\beta(u)} du \right), k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where

$$P(0) = \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{\alpha_i} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{uh(u)}{\beta(u)} du \right)^k \right]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

it is necessary and sufficient to have an execution of the following equalities:

$$1) \delta_k^{(l)} = \gamma_k^{(l)}, l = 1, \dots, k, k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4)$$

$$2) \int_0^\infty \frac{h(u)}{\beta(u)} du = \frac{1}{\beta(0)}. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 2.2. For execution of the equality $\int_0^\infty \frac{h(u)}{\beta(u)} du = \frac{1}{\beta(0)}$ it is necessary and sufficient to have a determination of $\beta(x)$ in the formula

$$\beta(x) = \beta(0) \frac{h(x)}{h_1(x)}, \quad (6)$$

where $h_1(x)$ is some probability distribution density.

Theorem 2.3 (invariance criterion). Let $\int_0^\infty \frac{uh(u)}{\beta(u)} du < \infty$, $\beta(x) \neq c$, or $h(x) \neq \mu \exp^{-\mu x}$, $\beta(x) \equiv c$, and a stationary ergodic distribution of the process $\zeta(t)$ exist, where c is a constant. For the stationary state probabilities $P(k)$ not to depend on the functional form of the distribution $H(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ under a fixed $\int_0^\infty \frac{uh(u)}{\beta(u)} du$ which is an expectation of some random value and to be determined in an evident analytical form in formulas

$$P(k) = P(0) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{\alpha_i} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{uh(u)}{\beta(u)} du \right)^k, k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (7)$$

where $P(0)$ is determined by Theorem 1, it is necessary and sufficient to have an execution of equalities (4) and (5).

Theorem 2.4. *For a stationary ergodic distribution of the process $\zeta(t)$ to exist it is sufficient to have an execution of the following conditions:*

$$1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} = \infty, \quad 2) P(0) > 0.$$

REFERENCES

1. Buslenko N.P., Kalashnikov V.V., Kovalenko I.N. *Lectures on complicated systems theory*. Moscow: Sov. radio, 1973 (in Russian).