

## Asymptotics of Ruin Probabilities for Controlled Risk Processes in the Small Claim Case

H. Schmidli

*Laboratory of Actuarial Mathematics  
University of Copenhagen  
email: schmidli@math.ku.dk*

Received October 14, 2002

Let  $S_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i$  be the aggregate claims process, where  $\{N_t\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . The claim sizes  $\{Y_i\}$  are iid, strictly positive and independent of the claim arrival process. We denote by  $Y$  a generic random variable, by  $M_Y(r) = \mathbb{E}[\exp\{rY\}]$  its moment generating function and by  $G(y)$  its distribution function. The insurer follows a strategy  $(A(u), b(u))$  of feedback form, where  $(A(u), b(u)) \in \mathcal{A} \subset [0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ . The following cases have been investigated in [1, 2, 3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= [0, \infty) \times \{1\}, & \text{no reinsurance,} \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{0\} \times [0, 1], & \text{no investment,} \\ \mathcal{A} &= [0, \infty) \times [0, 1], & \text{investment and reinsurance} \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(u)$  denotes the amount invested into a risky asset, modelled as a geometric Brownian motion

$$dZ_t = \mu Z_t dt + \sigma Z_t dW_t,$$

$\{W_t\}$  is a standard Brownian motion independent of  $\{S_t\}$  and  $b(u)$  is the retention level in proportional reinsurance, i.e. if a claim  $Y$  occurs at the time where the surplus is  $u$  (before the claim payment) then the insurer pays  $b(u)Y$  and the reinsurer pays  $(1 - b(u))Y$ . For this reinsurance cover the insurer has to pay a continuous premium at rate  $c(b(u))$ . As in [3] we assume that  $c(b)$  is strictly decreasing,  $c(1) = 0$ , and that  $c < c(0) < \infty$ , where  $c$  is the rate at which the insurer receives premiums.

Under the chosen strategy the surplus process  $X$  is given by

$$dX_t = (c - c(b(X_t)) + \mu A(X_t)) dt + \sigma A(X_t) dW_t - b(X_{t-}) dS_t, \quad X_0 = u.$$

The time of ruin is  $\tau^{A,b} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t < 0\}$ , and the ruin probability is  $\psi^{A,b}(u) = \mathbb{P}[\tau^{A,b} < \infty]$ . The control function is  $\psi(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{A}} \psi^{A,b}(u)$ . In order that  $\psi(u) < 1$  we have to assume that  $c > \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y]$  in the case without investment. If investment is possible the positive safety loading can be achieved by investment.

As in [1, 2, 3] we suppose that  $\psi(u)$  is twice continuously differentiable. Then  $\psi(u)$  solves the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

$$\inf_{(A,b) \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 A^2 \psi''(u) + (c - c(b) + \mu A) \psi'(u) + \lambda (\mathbb{E}[\psi(u - bY)] - \psi(u)) = 0,$$

where we let  $\psi(u) = 1$  for  $u < 0$ . The optimal strategy  $(A(u), b(u))$  are the values of  $A, b$  in the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation for which the infimum is taken.

Let  $R(A, b)$  be the solution to

$$\lambda(M_Y(br) - 1) - (c - c(b) + \mu A)r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 A^2 r^2 = 0,$$

and  $R = \sup_{(A,b) \in \mathcal{A}} R(A, b)$ . Let  $(A^*, b^*)$  denote the parameters at which the supremum is attained.

We first consider the small claim case. The process

$$M_t = \exp \left\{ -R(X_{\tau \wedge t} - u) - \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} \theta(X_s) ds \right\},$$

is a martingale where

$$\theta(u) = \lambda(M_Y(b(u)R) - 1) - (c - c(b(u)) + \mu A(u))R + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 A^2(u)R^2.$$

Define the measure  $\mathbb{P}^*[A] = \mathbb{E}[M_t; A]$  on  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}^*[\tau < \infty] = 1$  and

$$\psi(u) = \mathbb{E}^* \left[ \exp \left\{ RX_\tau + \int_0^\tau \theta(X_s) \right\} \right] e^{-Ru} \dots$$

Upper and lower Lundberg bounds can be obtained. Let  $\zeta = \limsup \psi(u)e^{Ru}$ . Then we show that for any  $\varepsilon, n > 0$  there is an interval  $[u_0 - n, u_0]$  on which  $|\psi(u)e^{Ru} - \zeta| < \varepsilon$ . Using this the change of measure formula yields convergence of  $\psi(u)e^{-Ru}$ . Further considerations then imply that  $A(u) \rightarrow A^*$  and  $b(u) \rightarrow b^*$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose now  $M_Y(r) = \infty$  for all  $r > 0$  and that investment is possible. Then we show that  $\psi(u)e^{Ru}$  converges, possibly to zero. Moreover,  $\psi(u)e^{(R+\varepsilon)u} = \infty$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The strategy also converges,  $b(0) \rightarrow b^* = 0$  and  $A(u) \rightarrow A^* < \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, if  $\liminf_{b \rightarrow 0} b^{-1}(c(0) - c(b)) > \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y]$ , we find that  $b(u) > 0$  for all  $u$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Hipp, C. and Plum, M. Optimal investment for insurers. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 2000, **27**, pp. 215–228.
2. Schmidli, H. Optimal proportional reinsurance policies in a dynamic setting. *Scand. Actuarial J.*, 2001, pp. 55–68.
3. Schmidli, H. On minimising the ruin probability by investment and reinsurance. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, to appear.